arXiv:hep-ph/9511222v2 7 Nov 1995

CHIRAL SYMMETRY RESTORATION AT FINITE TEMPERATURE IN THE DUAL GINZBURG-LANDAU THEORY

S. SASAKI, H. SUGANUMA and H. TOKI

Research Center for Nuclear Physics (RCNP) , Osaka University, Osaka 567, JAPAN

We study the relation between QCD-monopole condensation and dynamical chiral-symmetry breaking in the dual Ginzburg-Landau Theory, which realizes the color confinement due to the dual Meissner effect. Solving the Schwinger-Dyson equation at finite temperature numerically, we find the chiral symmetry is restored at high temperature. The critical temperature of the chiral symmetry restoration is strongly correlated with the string tension.

In 1981, 'tHooft pointed out the appearance of magnetic monopoles in the abelian gauge based on the topological argument, and conjecture that the dual Meissner effect would be brought if QCD-monopoles are condensed[1]. The color-electric flux between quarks is squeezed like a string or a tube because the color-electric fields are excluded in the QCD-vacuum. The linear-confining potential is realized since the squeezed flux has the uniform energy per unit length. The recent lattice QCD simulation shows that QCD-monopole condensation plays a crucial role on color confinement[2]. In the dual Ginzburg-Landau (DGL) theory[3], QCD-monopole condensation causes the strong and long range correlation between quark and antiquark, which produces the linear-confining potential with the string tension as $\sigma \simeq \frac{\mathbf{Q}^2 m_B^2}{8\pi} \ln(1 + \frac{m_\chi^2}{m_B^2})$ through the dual Higgs mechanism[4].

We have studied the chiral symmetry breaking in the QCD-monopole condensed vacuum by using the Schwinger-Dyson (SD) equation for the dynamical quark, and found that QCD-monopole condensation plays an essential role on the chiral symmetry breaking as well[4,5]. In this paper, we study the manifestation of chiral symmetry at finite temperature in the QCD vacuum.

Our strategy is to use the gluon propagator in the DGL theory as the full gluon propagator of QCD in the SD equation in order to include the non-perturbative effect in infrared region. Taking the rainbow approximation, we get the SD equation for the dynamical quark propagator $S_q(p)$ in the chiral limit,

$$S_q^{-1}(p) = i\not{p} + \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \mathbf{Q}^2 \gamma_\mu S_q(k) \gamma_\nu D_{\mu\nu}(p-k) \; ; \; \mathbf{Q}^2 = \frac{N_c - 1}{2N_c} \cdot e^2 \tag{1}$$

in the Euclidean metric. The gluon propagator $D_{\mu\nu}(k)$ in the QCD-monopole condensed vacuum is derived from the DGL Lagrangian,

$$D_{\mu\nu}(k) = -\frac{1}{k^2}\left(\delta_{\mu\nu} + (\alpha_e - 1)\frac{k_\mu k_\nu}{k^2}\right) - \frac{1}{k^2}\frac{m_B^2}{k^2 + m_B^2} \cdot \frac{\epsilon_{\lambda\mu\alpha\beta}\epsilon_{\lambda\nu\gamma\delta} n_\alpha n_\gamma k_\beta k_\delta}{(n \cdot k)^2 + a^2} \; , \tag{2}$$

where α_e is the gauge fixing parameter on the residual abelian gauge symmetry. The mass of the dual gluon, m_B, is generated through the dual Higgs mechanism when QCD-monopoles are condensed[3,4]. We introduce the infrared cutoff a in this propagator (2) corresponding to the dynamical quark-antiquark pair creation and/or the size of hadrons[5]. We take the angular average on the direction of the Dirac string n_μ in the SD equation,

$$\left\langle \frac{1}{(n\cdot k)^2+a^2} \right\rangle_{\rm average} \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi^2}\int d\Omega_n \frac{1}{(n\cdot k)^2+a^2} = \frac{2}{a}\cdot\frac{1}{a+\sqrt{k^2+a^2}} \ . \tag{3}$$

Here, the dynamical quark is considered to move in various directions inside hadrons, and hence the constituent quark mass would be regarded as the quark self-energy in the angle-averaged case[5]. Taking a simple form for the quark propagator as $S_q^{-1}(p) = i\not{p} - M(p^2)$, the SD equation for the quark self-energy $M(p^2)$ is obtained by taking the trace of Eq.(1),

$$\begin{aligned} M(p^2) &= \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\mathbf{Q}^2 \frac{M(k^2)}{k^2+M^2(k^2)} \\ &\quad\times \left[\frac{2}{\tilde{k}^2+m_B^2} + \frac{1+\alpha_e}{\tilde{k}^2} + \frac{4}{a}\cdot\frac{1}{a+\sqrt{\tilde{k}^2+a^2}}\left(\frac{m_B^2-a^2}{\tilde{k}^2+m_B^2}+\frac{a^2}{\tilde{k}^2}\right)\right] \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

with $\tilde{k}_\mu \equiv p_\mu - k_\mu$. It is noted that the r.h.s. of Eq.(4) is always non-negative.

We solve Eq.(4) numerically using the Higashijima-Miransky approximation with the QCD scale parameter $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$ fixed at 200MeV, and get the quark self-energy $M(p^2)$ as the function of the Euclidean momentum squared in a unit of $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$ at various m_B as shown in Fig.1. One finds that QCD-monopole condensation provides a large contribution to spontaneous chiral-symmetry breaking[4,5], because the dual gluon mass m_B is proportional to the QCD-monopole condensate[3,4] and the quark self-energy increases with m_B at each p^2. The parameters are set as $e = 5.5$, $m_B = 0.5$GeV and $a = 85$MeV , which reproduce the string tension ($\sqrt{\sigma} \simeq 0.45$GeV) and the cylindrical radius of the hadron flux tube ($R \sim m_B^{-1} \simeq 0.4$fm), where these parameters are almost set by fitting the confinement phenomena. The quark condensate and the pion decay constant are well-reproduced as $\langle \bar{q}q\rangle_{\rm RGI} \simeq -(247{\rm MeV})^3$ and $f_\pi \simeq 88$MeV, respectively.

Fig. 1. The quark self-energy as the function of the momentum squared at various dual gluon masses, $m_B = 0.3, 0.4$ and 0.5GeV. Only a trivial solution is found for the small values m_B, ($m_B \lesssim 0.2$GeV). The other parameters are fixed as $e = 5.5$ and $a = 85$MeV.

We study the chiral symmetry restoration at finite temperature using the imaginary-time formalism. The finite-temperature SD equation is obtained by making the following replacement in the SD equation (4):

$$p_4 \ \rightarrow\ \omega_n = (2n+1)\pi T \ , \tag{5a}$$

$$\int\frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \ \rightarrow\ T\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}\int\frac{{\rm d}\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \ , \tag{5b}$$

$$M(p^2) \ \rightarrow\ M(\omega_n,\mathbf{p}) \ . \tag{5c}$$

The obtained equation is very hard to solve even numerically since the quark self-energy depends not only on the three dimensional momentum $\mathbf{p}$, but also on the Matsubara frequencies ω_n. We use the *covariant-like ansatz* for the quark self-energy at $T \neq 0$ as

$$M(\omega_n, \mathbf{p}) \simeq M_T(\hat{p}^2) \tag{6}$$

with $\hat{p}^2 = \mathbf{p}^2 + \omega_n^2$ and $\omega_n = (2n+1)\pi T$. This ansatz guarantees that the finite-temperature SD equation in the limit $T \to 0$ is exactly reduced to the SD equation (4) at $T = 0$. The final form of the SD equation at $T \neq 0$ is derived as

$$\begin{aligned} M_T(\hat{p}^2) &= \frac{T}{8\pi^2} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\omega_m^2}^{\infty} d\hat{k}^2 \int_{-1}^{1} dz \mathbf{Q}^2 \sqrt{\hat{k}^2 - \omega_m^2} \frac{M_T(\hat{k}^2)}{\hat{k}^2 + M_T^2(\hat{k}^2)} \\ &\quad \times \left[\frac{2}{\tilde{k}_{nm}^2 + m_B^2} + \frac{1+\alpha_e}{\tilde{k}_{nm}^2} + \frac{4}{a} \cdot \frac{1}{a + \sqrt{\tilde{k}_{nm}^2 + a^2}} \left(\frac{m_B^2 - a^2}{\tilde{k}_{nm}^2 + m_B^2} + \frac{a^2}{\tilde{k}_{nm}^2} \right) \right] , \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where $\tilde{k}_{nm}^2 = \hat{k}^2 + \hat{p}^2 - 2z\sqrt{(\hat{p}^2 - \omega_n^2)(\hat{k}^2 - \omega_m^2)} - 2\omega_m\omega_n$.

We solve Eq.(7) numerically by setting $\omega_n = 0$ in the r.h.s. of Eq.(7), where we use $M(\omega_n, \mathbf{p}) \approx M(0, \hat{p})$ being consistent with the *covariant-like* ansatz. We show in Fig.2, the quark self-energy $M_T(\hat{p}^2)$ at finite temperature rapidly decreases with temperature. No nontrivial solution is found in the high temperature region, $T \gtrsim 110$MeV.

Fig. 2. The quark self-energy as the function of $\hat{p}^2$ at $T = 0,\ 60,\ 100$MeV, with $e = 5.5$, $m_B = 0.5$GeV and $a = 85$MeV.

The quark condensate is easily calculated using the quark self-energy $M_T(\hat{k}^2)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \bar{q}q \rangle_T &= -4N_c \cdot T \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{M(\omega_n, \mathbf{k})}{\mathbf{k}^2 + \omega_n^2 + M^2(\omega_n, \mathbf{k})} \\ &\simeq -\frac{2N_c}{\pi^2} \cdot T \sum_{n=0}^{n_{\max}} \int_{\omega_n^2}^{\Lambda^2} d\hat{k}^2 \sqrt{\hat{k}^2 - \omega_n^2} \frac{M_T(\hat{k}^2)}{\hat{k}^2 + M_T^2(\hat{k}^2)} \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

with $n_{\max} \equiv [\frac{\Lambda}{2\pi T} - \frac{1}{2}]$. Here, the ultraviolet cutoff is taken to be large enough as $\Lambda/\Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}} = 10^3$. The quark condensate, $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle_T$, shown in Fig.3, decreases gradually with temperature at low temperature and vanishes suddenly near the critical temperature T_C.

Fig. 3. The quark condensate at finite temperature as the function of the temperature divided by the critical temperature T_C. The same parameters are used as in Fig.2.

Finally, we examine the correlation between the critical temperature T_C of the chiral symmetry restoration and the confinement quantity such as the string tension σ. As shown in Fig.4, there exists the strong correlation between them.

Fig. 4. The critical temperature T_C as the function of the string tension σ. The upper and lower dotted line are in the cases of the ratio $m_\chi/m_B = 2$ and 3, respectively.

On the physical point of view, higher T_C should be necessary to restore the chiral symmetry in the system where the linear-confining potential is much stronger, because there exists the close relation[4,5,6] between color confinement and dynamical chiral-symmetry breaking through QCD-monopole condensation as was shown in Fig.1. One estimates as $90\text{MeV} \lesssim T_C \lesssim 130\text{MeV}$, when one takes the standard value of the string tension ($\sqrt{\sigma} \simeq 0.45\text{GeV}$).

In summary, we have studied the chiral symmetry using the SD equation in the DGL theory, which provides both quark confinement and dynamical chiral-symmetry breaking. We solve the finite-temperature SD equation numerically with the *covariant-like* ansatz. The chiral symmetry is restored at $T_C \sim 100\text{MeV}$. We have found the strong correlation between the critical temperature T_C and the string tension σ.

References

1. G. 't Hooft, *Nucl. Phys.* **B190**, 455 (1981).
2. For Instance, papers in *Proc. of "Lattice '94"*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B** (Proc. Suppl.) **42** (1995).
3. T. Suzuki, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **80**, 929 (1988); **81**, 752 (1989).
4. H. Suganuma, S. Sasaki and H. Toki, *Nucl. Phys.* **B435**, 207 (1995).
5. S. Sasaki, H. Suganuma and H. Toki, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **94**, 373 (1995).
6. O. Miyamura, *Nucl. Phys.* **B** (Proc. Suppl.) **42**, 538 (1995).
   R.M. Woloshyn, *Phys. Rev.* **D51**, 6411 (1995).

This figure "fig1-1.png" is available in "png" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/hep-ph/9511222v2